

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LESLIE JONES,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:04-cv-01933 ALA (P)

vs.

LIEUTENANT E. SANDY, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

Plaintiff Leslie Jones (“Petitioner”) is proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On October 28, 2008, Petitioner filed a motion: (1) claiming, in part, that he had no way to access those inmate witnesses scheduled to testify at trial; and (2) requesting that the Court order the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (“CDCR”) to permit Petitioner in-person access to those inmate witnesses prior to trial. (Doc. 135.) On November 10, 2008, Defendant filed a response addressing Petitioner’s claims (Doc. 143) and on November 13, 2008, the Court issued an order denying Petitioner’s motion. (Doc. 144.)

Before the Court is Petitioner’s “Response to Defendant’s Response to Court Order[]” (and Petitioner’s supporting declarations) where Petitioner disputes various statements found in Defendant’s November 10, 2008 response. (Docs. 158-60.) For the reasons already stated in the

1 Court's November 13, 2008 order, Petitioner's request for in-person access to inmate witnesses
2 is denied. To the extent Petitioner's "response" could be construed as a motion for
3 reconsideration, that motion is also denied as it fails to satisfy the requirements for motions for
4 reconsideration. *See* L.R. 78-230(k).

5 ////

6 DATED: November 26, 2008

7 _____ /s/ Arthur L. Alarcón
8 UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE
9 Sitting by Designation

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26